4	
•	<b>U</b>

Inte	rview	Sum	marv
11116		- Cuiii	IIIUI V

Application No. 09/365,978

Applicant(s)

Hefti

Examiner

Chris Chin

Art Unit 1641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Chris Chin	(3)		
(2) Richard Neeley	(4)		
Date of Interview May 2, 2002			
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes			
Exhibit Shown of demonstration conducted. 47 1- 1-63	e/Zi No. ii yes, bilei description.		
Claim(s) discussed: 34 and 35			
Identification of prior art discussed:  None			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:			
Applicants agreed to the cancellation of claims 34 and 35.			
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is		
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required		